

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/647,384

Applicant(s)

PEETERS ET AL.

Examiner

Thomas A. Morrison

Art Unit

3653

All Participants:

(1) Thomas A. Morrison.

(2) Mr. Paul C. Lewis (Reg. No. 43,368).

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 2 January 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

11

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

PATRICK MACKAY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 6000

Thomas Morrison
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Contacted Mr. Lewis (applicant's representative) to get permission to correct the numbering in Fig. 2b and correct a minor error in claim 11, as per the attached examiner's amendment. During the telephone conversation, Mr. Lewis approved such changes to the drawings and claims.